

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 2, 2005

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 4, 2005

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2005—06 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1599

Introduced by Assembly Member La Malfa

February 22, 2005

An act to add Section 15202.4 to the Government Code, relating to homicide trials.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1599, as amended, La Malfa. Homicide trial costs.

Existing law authorizes counties to apply to the Controller for reimbursement of the costs of homicide trials, defines those costs, and prescribes standards for determining the amount of reimbursement.

~~This bill would provide that, notwithstanding those provisions, the Controller, upon application by the county, shall reimburse all homicide trial costs incurred by a county in excess of a total of \$250,000 for one or more homicide trials during a fiscal year, for expenses incurred on or after July 1, 2006.~~

This bill would provide that notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Counties of Siskiyou and Tehama shall be reimbursed for 100% of their costs incurred in specified trials, to be paid from a specified item in the Budget Act of 2005.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 ~~SECTION 1. Section 15202.4 is added to the Government~~
2 ~~Code, to read:~~

3 ~~15202.4. Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter,~~
4 ~~the Controller, upon application by the county, shall reimburse~~
5 ~~all homicide trial costs incurred by a county in excess of a total~~
6 ~~of two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000) for one or more~~
7 ~~homicide trials during a fiscal year, for expenses incurred on or~~
8 ~~after July 1, 2006.~~

9 ~~SEC. 2.~~

10 ~~SECTION 1.~~ Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the
11 County of Siskiyou shall be reimbursed for 100 percent of its
12 costs incurred in the cases of People v. Cooper, et al; People v.
13 Pippin; People v. Galvan; and People v. Roy; and the County of
14 Tehama shall be reimbursed for 100 percent of its costs incurred
15 in the case of People v. Andrew Hampton McCrae a.k.a. Andrew
16 Hampton Mickel. These moneys shall be paid from the funds
17 appropriated in Item 8180-101-0001 of the Budget Act of 2005.